prevent clearly unwarranted by prevent clearly unwarranted by wasion of personal privacy public COP3

U.S. Department of Homeland Security 20 Massachusetts Ave. NW, Rm. A3042 Washington, DC 20529





Lames Lamps

FILE:

Office: CALIFORNIA SERVICE CENTER

Date:

IN RE:

Applicant:

PETITION:

Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 210 of the Immigration

and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1160

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

SELF-REPRESENTED

INSTRUCTIONS:

Elen (go

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. If your appeal was sustained, or if the matter was remanded for further action, you will be contacted. If your appeal was dismissed, you no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office **DISCUSSION:** The application for temporary resident status as a special agricultural worker was denied by the Director, Western Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The director concluded the documentation submitted did not satisfy the applicant's burden of proof of having performed qualifying agricultural employment. This conclusion was purportedly based on derogatory evidence obtained from Service attempts to verify the applicant's claimed employment for

On appeal, the applicant stated that he did not know why his application was denied. On May 27, 2004, the applicant was provided with a copy of the notice of intent to deny containing the reasons for the denial of his application. More than nine (9) months later, the applicant has not responded to that notice supplementing his appeal with any additional statements or evidence in rebuttal of the director's findings or in support of his claimed employment.

In order to be eligible for temporary resident status as a special agricultural worker, an alien must have engaged in qualifying agricultural employment for at least 90 man-days during the twelve-month period ending May 1, 1986, and must be otherwise admissible under section 210(c) of the Act and not ineligible under 8 C.F.R. § 210.3(d). 8 C.F.R. § 210.3(a). An applicant has the burden of proving the above by a preponderance of the evidence. 8 C.F.R. § 210.3(b).

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed. The applicant has failed to address the reasons stated in the denial and has not provided any additional evidence on appeal. The appeal must therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.